Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/751,142	KLUN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian J. Davis	1621
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Brian J. Davis</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Lisa P. Fulton</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 February 2006	Time: <u></u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
claims 2, 7, 9-11, 13 and 19.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to correct the dependency of claims 2, 7, 9-11, 13 and 19 by examiner's amendment. (The dependency had been inadvertently changed due to an obvious and systematic typographical error in the 1/19/06 amendment.)		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
PRIMA DAVIS  (Analisant/Analisant's Penrocentative Signature if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature – if appropriate) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		